


**Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.**

Course Feed back

The consolidated report of the feedback submitted by the students is presented below. The students were requested to give their feedback on the criteria's as listed on a 1 to 5 scale. The table provides an insight on the average scores attained for each criterion.

Criteria considered for evaluating the feedback

C1	Please rate your satisfaction with the course handling faculty's responsiveness and interaction with students, both in and outside of class hours.
C2	The accuracy with which the assessments are administered reflects the course outcomes and measures your understanding of the course.
C3	The assessment procedures (Mid semester test , Assignments, Seminar, Quiz, etc..) in this course align with the learning objectives and contribute to your understanding of the course.
C4	The assessments and assignments fair, relevant, and linked effectively to the course material, providing a meaningful evaluation of your understanding.
C5	The classroom interaction encouraged me to attend the classes regularly and motivates in continuous learning of the course.
C6	The course encourages self-learning and develops creative skills of the student
C7	The course faculty communicate and deliver course content, ensuring a clear understanding of complex concepts
C8	The course faculty create an inclusive and supportive learning environment, encouraging interaction and collaboration among students
C9	The course incorporates interactive elements such as discussions, case studies, or practical exercises to enhance your understanding and involvement.
C10	The course instructor provided appropriate study materials (i.e., books, readings, handouts, study guides, lab manuals, multimedia, software) to enhance the knowledge and skills in the subject matter.
C11	The course motivates additional reading in the subject area to achieve learning beyond the minimum requirements
C12	The course outcomes and objectives were well-defined at the beginning of the course
C13	The course was designed in a way that made it easier to learn the fundamental concepts.
C14	The course's alignment with your academic and career goals and whether it met or exceeded your expectations is to be considered.
C15	The evaluation was done in a timely manner and the techniques offer you valuable input on your learning strengths and weakness.
C16	The extent to which you felt the course provided opportunities for personalized learning experiences, allowing you to explore areas of interest or apply concepts in real-world scenarios
C17	The importance of the course with relevance to the industrial needs was discussed at the commencement of the course
C18	The instructor encourages critical thinking, group discussions, and motivates students to excel in the course


Dr. N. Rajam Ramasamy, Ph.D.,
Head i/c,
Department of Mechanical Engineering,
Coimbatore Institute of Technology,
Coimbatore - 641 014, INDIA.




Dr. A. RAJESWARI, M.E., Ph.D.,
PRINCIPAL
COIMBATORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
COIMBATORE-641 014.

Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.



Consolidated result of the feedback

Academic Year	2023 -24
Semester	III
Mode of data collection	Google forms
No of respondent	23

Criterion	[19MEL36A / 19MEL36B	19ME31	19ME32	19MEM31	19HSS01	19ME33	19ME34	19MEL35	19MEOC01	19MEOC03	19MEOC06
C1	4.5	4.4	4	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.5	3.9	4.5
C2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.7	4.2
C3	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	3.7	4
C4	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.6	3.9	4.7
C5	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.7	3.8	4.3
C6	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.4	3.8	4.6
C7	4.5	4.1	3.7	4.3	4.2	4.8	4.2	4.6	4.5	3.6	4.5
C8	4.5	4.4	4	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.5	3.8	4.7
C9	4.4	4.2	4	4.2	4	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.4	3.8	4.4
C10	4.3	4	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.5	3.6	4.2
C11	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.7
C12	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4	4.5
C13	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.8	4	4.5	4.6	3.8	4.3
C14	4.4	4	3.9	4	4.2	4.4	4	4.5	4.5	3.6	4.2
C15	4.4	4.3	4	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.5	3.8	4.5
C16	4.3	4.2	4	4.1	4	4.5	4.1	4.6	4.1	3.6	4.1
C17	4.2	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.7	4	4.4	4.5	3.6	4
C18	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.1	4.6	4.4	3.7	4.6

Academic Year	2023 -24
Semester	VII
Mode of data collection	Google forms
No of respondent	12



[Signature]
HOD Mechanical Engineering
CIT, Coimbatore-641 014.

**Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.**



Criterion	19ME71	19ME72	19MEE27	19MEE31	19MEE36	19MEOE03	19MEOE04	19MEOE06
C1	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.8	4.1	3.9	4	4.1
C2	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.8
C3	4	4	4	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.7
C4	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.7	4.1
C5	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.7
C6	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.9
C7	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.7	4	3.5	3.5	3.9
C8	3.9	3.5	3.4	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.5	4.2
C9	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.9	3.9	4	3.6	4
C10	3.8	3.8	4	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.5
C11	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.9
C12	4	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	4
C13	7.3	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.4	3.6	4
C14	3.6	3.4	3.2	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.5
C15	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.8
C16		3.7	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.9	3.9
C17	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5
C18	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.6	4	3.7	3.8	3.9

Academic Year	2023 -24
Semester	V
Mode of data collection	Google forms
No of respondent	19

CRITERION	19ME51	19ME52	19ME53	19ME54	19ME55	19MEE18	19MEE19	19MEE34	19MEL47A	19MEL47B	19MEL56A	19MEL56B	19MEOC01	19MEOC05	19MEOC09
C1	4.1	4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.4
C2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.1	4	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2	4	4.3
C3	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.4
C4	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4	4	4.3	4.4
C5	4	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4	4.6	4.4

Dr. N. Rajam Ramasamy
Dr. N. Rajam Ramasamy, Ph.D.,
 Head i/c,
 Department of Mechanical Engineering,
 Coimbatore Institute of Technology,
 Coimbatore - 641 014, INDIA.



m

**Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.**



C6	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2
C7	3.9	4	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.2	4	4.1	4.2
C8	4.1	4.2	4.1	4	4	4.2	4	4	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.2	3.8	4.1	4.4
C9	3.8	3.9	4.3	3.9	3.7	4.2	3.9	4	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4	4	4
C10	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.3	4	4.3	3.7	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.4	3.8	4.1	4.3
C11	3.9	4.1	4.2	4	3.9	4.2	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2	4	4.6
C12	3.9	4.2	4.3	4	3.9	4.2	4.1	4	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.4
C13	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.1	4.3
C14	3.9	4	4.1	4	3.7	3.9	4.2	3.8	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4	4	4.2
C15	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.2	4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.4	4	4.3	4.2	4.1
C16	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4	4	4	4.3	4	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.4	3.8	4.3	4.5
C17	4	4.4	4.1	4.1	4	3.5	3.9	4	4.3	4.3	4	4.4	3.1	3.9	4.3
C18	4	4	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.4

General Comments given by the students on open questions

Question	What are one to three specific things about the course that could be improved to better support student learning?
Answers	
II yr	To speak in Tamil, To improve problematic analysis, Practical knowledge Teach in black board , Top rated faculties, Conduct activities in class related to the subject The hours allotted for each course is not enough, More interactive assignments The only thing I would like to say is that, the Fluid dynamics course was a 3 credit course but the content in the subject is equivalent to a 4 credit course. Kindly make it as a 4 credit course. Repeating the Formulas regularly in the class while dealing with problems and using the black board for every explanation. Explain concepts elaborately
III yr	Discussion of topics covered. 1. presentation video, 2. good notes , 3. easy understanding Please decrease the pass percentage it is very high. Average students were getting struggle to get pass mark I have no idea in that Operation research must be handled effectively sir. advanced welding. Make discussions meaningful. Increase student engagement. Already the classes going very well 1) Design of machine elements - should have finish the whole portion 2) alternative and energy consumption techniques - industrial visits will bring much attention and interest to the course
IV yr	1. More practical teaching, 2. Implementing of general course for technical knowledge, Engineering should not be limited within the class, Engineering should involve experimental teaching, Assignments should not be just a hand written copy of papers instead each and every Assignment should show and open an unique sense of engineering. Practical experiences

Question :	What are one to three specific things about the course or instructor that especially helped to support student learning?
II yr	Giving more homework's and assignments. To solve all the problems slowly, Enhanced the knowledge level to Conway easier example , Interaction with students, Teach little bit slowly , Friendly facilities, Be more interactive, They shared all the PPT and notes which we very useful , Diverse teaching methods , Fully satisfied , The faculties were very helpful in clearing our doubts and making us to solve more number of problems. ,I request our



**HOD Mechanical Engineering
CIT, Coimbatore-641 014.**

Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.

	respective staffs to kindly look after the middle benchers. Assignments
Illyr	Thoughts of concepts to the students in deep way. GD and Assignments and tutorials 1. good skills for instructor 2. good teaching 3. good knowledge Instructor should have interest to teach., teachings is excellent and he also took for verticals there I become a fan of his teaching, sir not even complete the portion Provide opportunities for students to express their feelings, Kindly teach advanced technologies, sir provided a sufficient knowledge on the respective course
Ivyr	Improvisation of course with respect to time is appreciated i.e control systems is an important ECE course and is available to Mechanical engineers also which is a good thing Time table is has highly flexible Timings for class as well as for self improvement and other extra curricular activities, Provide proper learning materials, Interaction between students Interaction with students

Inference

1. No of students participated in the survey is less.
2. Appropriate steps to be taken to improve the no of participants in collecting the feedback.
3. The minimum average score attained is as less as 3.6 and the maximum of 4.7 on a 5 scale.
4. Further micro analysis would provide a better insight on the survey.

Based on the available data, it's concluded that the overall satisfaction of students is approximately more than 70%.


Dr. N. Rajan Ramasamy Ph.D.,
Head i/c,
Department of Mechanical Engineering,
Coimbatore Institute of Technology,
Coimbatore - 641 014, INDIA.




Dr. A. RAJESWARI, M.E., Ph.D.,
PRINCIPAL
COIMBATORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
COIMBATORE-641 014.


HOD Mechanical Engineering
CIT, Coimbatore-641 014.

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year: 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21CS11 C Programming

Faculty: Dr. Valliappan Raman

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.8
The course is well planned and organized	4.8
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.76
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.84
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.76
Average	4.79



M.P.

[Dr. M. Prabhavathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT]

Ry

Dr. A. RAJESWARI, M.E., Ph.D.,
PRINCIPAL
COIMBATORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
COIMBATORE-641 014.


Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Academic Year: 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21CL11 – Chemistry Laboratory

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.2
The course is well planned and organized	4.24
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.35
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.27
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.14
Average	4.24



M.P.

Dr. M. Prabharathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT


Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Academic Year: 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21CSL11 – C Programming Laboratory

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.14
The course is well planned and organized	4.12
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.12
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.39
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.1
Average	4.14



M.Py

Dr. M. Poabhavathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year: 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21FYC11 – Engineering Chemistry

Faculty: Dr. K.V. Hemalatha

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.16
The course is well planned and organized	4.06
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.24
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.18
Average	4.13



M. Prabhavathy
Dr. M. Prabhavathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year : 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21FYLE11 – Employability Skills

Faculty: Ms. R. Sudha & Ms. J. Asha

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.61
The course is well planned and organized	4.45
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.47
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.49
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.49
Average	4.61



M. Pray
Dr. M. Poabharathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year: 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21FYE11 Technical English

Faculty: Ms.R.Sudha

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.33
The course is well planned and organized	4.27
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.22
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.55
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.51
Average	4.38



M. Prabh

Dr. M. Prabhavathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year: 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21FYM14 Matrices and Calculus

Faculty: Dr.S.Murali

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.59
The course is well planned and organized	4.45
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.43
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.71
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.61
Average	4.57



M. Prabhavathy

Dr.M.Prabhavathy
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CDT

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year : 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21FYP13 Engineering Physics

Faculty: Dr.N.Muthukumarasamy

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.8
The course is well planned and organized	4.78
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.78
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.84
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.78
Average	4.8



M. Ruy

Dr. M. Prabhavathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year : 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21MEL21 – Engineering Practices Laboratory

Faculty: Dr. S. Selvam

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.45
The course is well planned and organized	4.47
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.55
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.53
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.47
Average	4.45



M. Prabhavathy

Dr. M. Prabhavathy
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT


Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Academic Year : 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21PL11 – Physics Laboratory

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.94
The course is well planned and organized	4.92
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.92
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.92
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.94
Average	4.93



Dr. A. RAJESWARI, M.E., Ph.D.,
PRINCIPAL
GOIMBATORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
GOIMBATORE-541 014

M. Prabhavathy
Do. M. Prabhavathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CST

Student survey Analysis Report


Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.

Course Feed back

The consolidated report of the feedback submitted by the students is presented below. The students were requested to give their feedback on the criteria's as listed on a 1 to 5 scale. The table provides an insight on the average scores attained for each criterion.

Criteria considered for evaluating the feedback

C1	Please rate your satisfaction with the course handling faculty's responsiveness and interaction with students, both in and outside of class hours.
C2	The accuracy with which the assessments are administered reflects the course outcomes and measures your understanding of the course.
C3	The assessment procedures (Mid semester test , Assignments, Seminar, Quiz, etc..) in this course align with the learning objectives and contribute to your understanding of the course.
C4	The assessments and assignments fair, relevant, and linked effectively to the course material, providing a meaningful evaluation of your understanding.
C5	The classroom interaction encouraged me to attend the classes regularly and motivates in continuous learning of the course.
C6	The course encourages self-learning and develops creative skills of the student
C7	The course faculty communicate and deliver course content, ensuring a clear understanding of complex concepts
C8	The course faculty create an inclusive and supportive learning environment, encouraging interaction and collaboration among students
C9	The course incorporates interactive elements such as discussions, case studies, or practical exercises to enhance your understanding and involvement.
C10	The course instructor provided appropriate study materials (i.e., books, readings, handouts, study guides, lab manuals, multimedia, software) to enhance the knowledge and skills in the subject matter.
C11	The course motivates additional reading in the subject area to achieve learning beyond the minimum requirements
C12	The course outcomes and objectives were well-defined at the beginning of the course
C13	The course was designed in a way that made it easier to learn the fundamental concepts.
C14	The course's alignment with your academic and career goals and whether it met or exceeded your expectations is to be considered.
C15	The evaluation was done in a timely manner and the techniques offer you valuable input on your learning strengths and weakness.
C16	The extent to which you felt the course provided opportunities for personalized learning experiences, allowing you to explore areas of interest or apply concepts in real-world scenarios
C17	The importance of the course with relevance to the industrial needs was discussed at the commencement of the course
C18	The instructor encourages critical thinking, group discussions, and motivates students to excel in the course


Dr. N. Rajam Ramasamy, Ph.D.,
Head i/c,
Department of Mechanical Engineering,
Coimbatore Institute of Technology,
Coimbatore - 641 014, INDIA.




Dr. A. RAJESWARI, M.E., Ph.D.,
PRINCIPAL
COIMBATORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
COIMBATORE-641 014.

Student Survey Analysis Report

**Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.**

Course Feed back

The consolidated report of the feedback submitted by the students is presented below. The students were requested to give their feedback on the criteria's as listed on a 1 to 5 scale. The table provides an insight on the average scores attained for each criterion.

Criteria considered for evaluating the feedback

C1	Please rate your satisfaction with the course handling faculty's responsiveness and interaction with students, both in and outside of class hours.
C2	The accuracy with which the assessments are administered reflects the course outcomes and measures your understanding of the course.
C3	The assessment procedures (Mid semester test , Assignments, Seminar, Quiz, etc..) in this course align with the learning objectives and contribute to your understanding of the course.
C4	The assessments and assignments fair, relevant, and linked effectively to the course material, providing a meaningful evaluation of your understanding.
C5	The classroom interaction encouraged me to attend the classes regularly and motivates in continuous learning of the course.
C6	The course encourages self-learning and develops creative skills of the student
C7	The course faculty communicate and deliver course content, ensuring a clear understanding of complex concepts
C8	The course faculty create an inclusive and supportive learning environment, encouraging interaction and collaboration among students
C9	The course incorporates interactive elements such as discussions, case studies, or practical exercises to enhance your understanding and involvement.
C10	The course instructor provided appropriate study materials (i.e., books, readings, handouts, study guides, lab manuals, multimedia, software) to enhance the knowledge and skills in the subject matter.
C11	The course motivates additional reading in the subject area to achieve learning beyond the minimum requirements
C12	The course outcomes and objectives were well-defined at the beginning of the course
C13	The course was designed in a way that made it easier to learn the fundamental concepts.
C14	The course's alignment with your academic and career goals and whether it met or exceeded your expectations is to be considered.
C15	The evaluation was done in a timely manner and the techniques offer you valuable input on your learning strengths and weakness.
C16	The extent to which you felt the course provided opportunities for personalized learning experiences, allowing you to explore areas of interest or apply concepts in real-world scenarios
C17	The importance of the course with relevance to the industrial needs was discussed at the commencement of the course
C18	The instructor encourages critical thinking, group discussions, and motivates students to excel in the course


Dr. N. Rajan Ramasamy, Ph.D.,
Head /c,
Department of Mechanical Engineering,
Coimbatore Institute of Technology,
Coimbatore - 641 014, INDIA.




Dr. A. RAJESWARI, M.E., Ph.D.,
PRINCIPAL
GOIMBATORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
COIMBATORE-641 014.

**Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.**



Consolidated result of the feedback

Academic Year	2023 -24
Semester	III
Mode of data collection	Google forms
No of respondent	23

Criterion	[19MEL36A / 19MEL36B	19ME31	19ME32	19MEM31	19HSS01	19ME33	19ME34	19MEL35	19MEOC01	19MEOC03	19MEOC06
C1	4.5	4.4	4	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.5	3.9	4.5
C2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.7	4.2
C3	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	3.7	4
C4	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.6	3.9	4.7
C5	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.7	3.8	4.3
C6	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.4	3.8	4.6
C7	4.5	4.1	3.7	4.3	4.2	4.8	4.2	4.6	4.5	3.6	4.5
C8	4.5	4.4	4	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.5	3.8	4.7
C9	4.4	4.2	4	4.2	4	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.4	3.8	4.4
C10	4.3	4	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.5	3.6	4.2
C11	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.7
C12	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4	4.5
C13	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.8	4	4.5	4.6	3.8	4.3
C14	4.4	4	3.9	4	4.2	4.4	4	4.5	4.5	3.6	4.2
C15	4.4	4.3	4	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.5	3.8	4.5
C16	4.3	4.2	4	4.1	4	4.5	4.1	4.6	4.1	3.6	4.1
C17	4.2	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.7	4	4.4	4.5	3.6	4
C18	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.1	4.6	4.4	3.7	4.6

Academic Year	2023 -24
Semester	VII
Mode of data collection	Google forms
No of respondent	12



**HOD Mechanical Engineering
CIT, Coimbatore-641 014.**

Student Survey Analysis Report


**Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.**

Course Feed back

The consolidated report of the feedback submitted by the students is presented below. The students were requested to give their feedback on the criteria's as listed on a 1 to 5 scale. The table provides an insight on the average scores attained for each criterion.

Criteria considered for evaluating the feedback

C1	Please rate your satisfaction with the course handling faculty's responsiveness and interaction with students, both in and outside of class hours.
C2	The accuracy with which the assessments are administered reflects the course outcomes and measures your understanding of the course.
C3	The assessment procedures (Mid semester test , Assignments, Seminar, Quiz, etc.,) in this course align with the learning objectives and contribute to your understanding of the course.
C4	The assessments and assignments fair, relevant, and linked effectively to the course material, providing a meaningful evaluation of your understanding.
C5	The classroom interaction encouraged me to attend the classes regularly and motivates in continuous learning of the course.
C6	The course encourages self-learning and develops creative skills of the student
C7	The course faculty communicate and deliver course content, ensuring a clear understanding of complex concepts
C8	The course faculty create an inclusive and supportive learning environment, encouraging interaction and collaboration among students
C9	The course incorporates interactive elements such as discussions, case studies, or practical exercises to enhance your understanding and involvement.
C10	The course instructor provided appropriate study materials (i.e., books, readings, handouts, study guides, lab manuals, multimedia, software) to enhance the knowledge and skills in the subject matter.
C11	The course motivates additional reading in the subject area to achieve learning beyond the minimum requirements
C12	The course outcomes and objectives were well-defined at the beginning of the course
C13	The course was designed in a way that made it easier to learn the fundamental concepts.
C14	The course's alignment with your academic and career goals and whether it met or exceeded your expectations is to be considered.
C15	The evaluation was done in a timely manner and the techniques offer you valuable input on your learning strengths and weakness.
C16	The extent to which you felt the course provided opportunities for personalized learning experiences, allowing you to explore areas of interest or apply concepts in real-world scenarios
C17	The importance of the course with relevance to the industrial needs was discussed at the commencement of the course
C18	The instructor encourages critical thinking, group discussions, and motivates students to excel in the course


Dr. N. Rajam Ramasamy, Ph.D.,
Head i/c,
Department of Mechanical Engineering,
Coimbatore Institute of Technology,
Coimbatore - 641 014, INDIA.




Dr. A. RAJESWARI, M.E., Ph.D.,
PRINCIPAL
COIMBATORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
COIMBATORE-641 014.

**Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.**



Consolidated result of the feedback

Academic Year	2023 -24
Semester	III
Mode of data collection	Google forms
No of respondent	23

Criterion	[19MEL36A / 19MEL36B	19ME31	19ME32	19MEM31	19HSS01	19ME33	19ME34	19MEL35	19MEOC01 ^a	19MEOC03	19MEOC06
C1	4.5	4.4	4	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.5	3.9	4.5
C2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.7	4.2
C3	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	3.7	4
C4	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.6	3.9	4.7
C5	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.7	3.8	4.3
C6	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.4	3.8	4.6
C7	4.5	4.1	3.7	4.3	4.2	4.8	4.2	4.6	4.5	3.6	4.5
C8	4.5	4.4	4	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.5	3.8	4.7
C9	4.4	4.2	4	4.2	4	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.4	3.8	4.4
C10	4.3	4	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.5	3.6	4.2
C11	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.7
C12	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4	4.5
C13	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.8	4	4.5	4.6	3.8	4.3
C14	4.4	4	3.9	4	4.2	4.4	4	4.5	4.5	3.6	4.2
C15	4.4	4.3	4	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.5	3.8	4.5
C16	4.3	4.2	4	4.1	4	4.5	4.1	4.6	4.1	3.6	4.1
C17	4.2	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.7	4	4.4	4.5	3.6	4
C18	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.1	4.6	4.4	3.7	4.6

Academic Year	2023 -24
Semester	VII
Mode of data collection	Google forms
No of respondent	12



**HOD Mechanical Engineering
CIT, Coimbatore-641 014.**

**Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.**



Criterion	19ME71	19ME72	19MEE27	19MEE31	19MEE36	19MEOE03	19MEOE04	19MEOE06
C1	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.8	4.1	3.9	4	4.1
C2	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.8
C3	4	4	4	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.7
C4	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.7	4.1
C5	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.7
C6	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.9
C7	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.7	4	3.5	3.5	3.9
C8	3.9	3.5	3.4	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.5	4.2
C9	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.9	3.9	4	3.6	4
C10	3.8	3.8	4	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.5
C11	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.9
C12	4	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	4
C13	7.3	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.4	3.6	4
C14	3.6	3.4	3.2	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.5
C15	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.8
C16		3.7	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.9	3.9
C17	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5
C18	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.6	4	3.7	3.8	3.9

Academic Year	2023 -24
Semester	V
Mode of data collection	Google forms
No of respondent	19

CRITERION	19ME51	19ME52	19ME53	19ME54	19ME55	19MEE18	19MEE19	19MEE34	19MEL47A	19MEL47B	19MEL56A	19MEL56B	19MEOC01	19MEOC05	19MEOC09
C1	4.1	4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.4
C2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.1	4	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2	4	4.3
C3	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.4
C4	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4	4	4.3	4.4
C5	4	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4	4.6	4.4

Dr. N. Rajam Ramasamy
 Dr. N. Rajam Ramasamy, Ph.D.,
 Head i/c,
 Department of Mechanical Engineering,
 Coimbatore Institute of Technology,
 Coimbatore - 641 014, INDIA.



m

**Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.**



C6	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
C7	3.9	4	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.2	4	4.1
C8	4.1	4.2	4.1	4	4	4.2	4	4	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.2	3.8	4.1
C9	3.8	3.9	4.3	3.9	3.7	4.2	3.9	4	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4	4.1
C10	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.3	4	4.3	3.7	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.4	3.8	4.1
C11	3.9	4.1	4.2	4	3.9	4.2	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1
C12	3.9	4.2	4.3	4	3.9	4.2	4.1	4	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.1
C13	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.1
C14	3.9	4	4.1	4	3.7	3.9	4.2	3.8	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4	4.2
C15	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.2	4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.4	4	4.3	4.2
C16	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4	4	4	4.3	4	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.4	3.8	4.3
C17	4	4.4	4.1	4.1	4	3.5	3.9	4	4.3	4.3	4	4.4	3.1	3.9
C18	4	4	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.4

General Comments given by the students on open questions

Question	What are one to three specific things about the course that could be improved to better support student learning?
Answers	
II yr	To speak in Tamil, To improve problematic analysis, Practical knowledge Teach in black board , Top rated faculties, Conduct activities in class related to the subject The hours allotted for each course is not enough, More interactive assignments The only thing I would like to say is that, the Fluid dynamics course was a 3 credit course but the content in the subject is equivalent to a 4 credit course. Kindly make the it as a 4 credit course. Repeating the Formulas regularly in the class while dealing with problems and using the black board for every explanation. Explain concepts elaborately
III yr	Discussion of topics covered. 1.presentation video, 2.good notes , 3.easy understanding Please decrease the pass percentage it is very high. Average students were getting struggle to get pass mark I have no idea in that Operation research must be handled effectively sir. advanced welding, Make discussions meaningful. Increase student engagement. Already the classes going very well 1) Design of machine elements - should have finish the whole portion 2) alternative and energy consumption techniques - industrial visits will bring much attention and interest to the course
IV yr	1. More practical teaching, 2. Implementing of general course for technical knowledge, Engineering should not be limited within the class, Engineering should involve experimental teaching, Assignments should not be just a hand written copy of papers instead each and every Assignment should show and open an unique sense of engineering. Practical experiences

Question :	What are one to three specific things about the course or instructor that especially helped to support student learning?
II yr	Giving more homework's and assignments. To solve all the problems slowly, Enhanced the knowledge level to Conway easier example , Interaction with students, Teach little bit slowly , Friendly facilities. Be more interactive, They shared all the PPT and notes which were very useful , Diverse teaching methods , Fully satisfied , The faculties were very helpful in clearing our doubts and making us to solve more number of problems. I request our



**HOD Mechanical Engineering
CIT, Coimbatore-641 014.**


**Coimbatore Institute of Technology
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Coimbatore – 641014.**

	respective staffs to kindly look after the middle benchers. Assignments
IIIyr	Thoughts of concepts to the students in deep way. GD and Assignments and tutorials 1.good skills for instructor 2.good teaching 3.good knowledge Instructor should have interest to teach., teachings is excellent and he also took for verticals there I become a fan of his teaching, sir not even complete the portion Provide opportunities for students to express their feelings, Kindly teach advanced technologies, sir provided a sufficient knowledge on the respective course
IVyr	Improvisation of course with respect to time is appreciated i.e control systems is an important ECE course and is available to Mechanical engineers also which is a good thing Time table is has highly flexible Timings for class as well as for self improvement and other extra curricular activities, Provide proper learning materials, Interaction between students Interaction with students

Inference

1. No of students participated in the survey is less.
2. Appropriate steps to be taken to improve the no of participants in collecting the feedback.
3. The minimum average score attained is as less as 3.6 and the maximum of 4.7 on a 5 scale.
4. Further micro analysis would provide a better insight on the survey.

Based on the available data, it's concluded that the overall satisfaction of students is approximately more than 70%.


Dr. N. Rajam Ramasamy Ph.D.,
Head i/c,
Department of Mechanical Engineering,
Coimbatore Institute of Technology,
Coimbatore - 641 014, INDIA.




**Dr. A. RAJESWARI, M.E., Ph.D.,
PRINCIPAL
COIMBATORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
COIMBATORE-641 014.**


**HOD Mechanical Engineering
CIT, Coimbatore-641 014.**

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year: 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21CS11 C Programming

Faculty: Dr. Valliappan Raman

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.8
The course is well planned and organized	4.8
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.76
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.84
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.76
Average	4.79



M. Prabhavathy

[Dr. M. Prabhavathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT]

Raj

Dr. A. RAJESWARI, M.E., Ph.D.,
PRINCIPAL
GOIMBATORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
GOIMBATORE-641 014.


Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Academic Year: 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21CL11 – Chemistry Laboratory

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.2
The course is well planned and organized	4.24
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.35
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.27
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.14
Average	4.24



M.Py
Dr. M. Prabharathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT


Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Academic Year: 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21CSL11 – C Programming Laboratory

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.14
The course is well planned and organized	4.12
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.12
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.39
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.1
Average	4.14



M. P. R.

Dr. M. Prabhavathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year: 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21FYC11 – Engineering Chemistry

Faculty: Dr. K.V. Hemalatha

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.16
The course is well planned and organized	4.06
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.24
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.18
Average	4.13



M. Pray
Dr. M. Prabhavathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year : 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21FYLE11 – Employability Skills

Faculty: Ms. R. Sudha & Ms. J. Asha

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.61
The course is well planned and organized	4.45
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.47
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.49
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.49
Average	4.61



M. Ruy

Dr. M. Poabharathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year: 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21FYE11 Technical English

Faculty: Ms.R.Sudha

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.33
The course is well planned and organized	4.27
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.22
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.55
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.51
Average	4.38



M. Pray

Dr. M. Prabharathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year: 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21FYM14 Matrices and Calculus

Faculty: Dr.S.Murali

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.59
The course is well planned and organized	4.45
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.43
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.71
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.61
Average	4.57



M. Prabhavathy

Dr.M.Prabhavathy
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CDT

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year : 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21FYP13 Engineering Physics

Faculty: Dr.N.Muthukumarasamy

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.8
The course is well planned and organized	4.78
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.78
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.84
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.78
Average	4.8



M. Ruy

Dr. M. Prabhavathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT

Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science


Academic Year : 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21MEL21 – Engineering Practices Laboratory

Faculty: Dr. S. Selvam

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.45
The course is well planned and organized	4.47
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.55
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.53
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.47
Average	4.45



M. Ruy

Dr. M. Prabharathy
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT


Dept. of Artificial Intelligence & Data Science

Academic Year : 2021 – 2022 Sem. I

Feedback Report Analysis

21PL11 – Physics Laboratory

Parameters	Average Score
Overall, I am satisfied with this course	4.94
The course is well planned and organized	4.92
The course planner, lecture materials, text books and assessment requirements for this course are clear	4.92
Staff has thorough knowledge of the course	4.92
Staff communicates information and ideas clearly and effectively in the class	4.94
Average	4.93



M. Prabhavathy
Dr. M. Prabhavathy,
Associate Professor,
AI & DS, CIT

Rajswari
Dr. A. RAJESWARI, M.E., Ph.D.,
PRINCIPAL
COMBATORE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
COMBATORE-641 014